arXiv:1106.2885v1 [math.LO] 15 Jun 2011

# UNIFORM CELL DECOMPOSITION WITH APPLICATIONS TO CHEVALLEY GROUPS

MARK N. BERMAN[†§], JAMSHID DERAKHSHAN[†], URI ONN AND PIRITA PAAJANEN[‡]

Abstract. We express integrals of definable functions over definable sets uniformly for non-Archimedean local fields, extending results of Pas. We apply this to Chevalley groups, in particular proving that zeta functions counting conjugacy classes in congruence quotients of such groups depend only on the size of the residue field, for sufficiently large residue characteristic. In particular, the number of conjugacy classes in a congruence quotient depends only on the size of the residue field. The same holds for zeta functions counting dimensions of Hecke modules of intertwining operators associated to induced representations of such quotients.

## 1. Introduction

We provide a framework for studying integrals over Chevalley groups which makes them amenable to model-theoretic tools. This has various applications to counting problems associated with Chevalley groups. Let $G$ be a Chevalley group defined over $\mathbb{Z}$, let $K$ be a non-Archimedean local field with valuation ring $\mathfrak{o}$, maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, absolute value $|\ |$ and residue field $\mathfrak{K}$ of size $q$. Suppose we have a counting problem related to $G(\mathfrak{o})$, given by a sequence of natural numbers $A = (a_m)$, which we encode in a Poincaré series $\zeta_A(s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m q^{-ms}$. In the cases of interest to us, this generating function is expressible by an integral $Z_A(s) = \int_{G(\mathfrak{o})} |f(g)|^s d\mu_{G(K)}(g)$, where $f$ is a function which is definable in the Denef-Pas language for Henselian valued fields (see Section 2), and $\mu_{G(K)}$ is the normalised Haar measure on $G(K)$. Following the Ax-Kochen philosophy we show that the integral $Z_A(s)$ is unchanged if we replace the field $K$ by a field $K'$ of the same residue field, provided the residue characteristic is sufficiently large. This is reflected in the corresponding counting problems under consideration. The following theorem allows us to transform the problem into a model-theoretic framework.

**Theorem A.** *Let $G$ be a Chevalley group defined over $\mathbb{Z}$. There exist (explicitly computed) rational maps $\iota : \mathbb{A}^{\dim G} \to G$ and $\alpha : \mathbb{A}^{\dim G} \to \mathbb{A}$ such that the following holds. Let $K$ be a non-Archimedean local field with valuation ring $\mathfrak{o}$. Let $dx$ denote the additive Haar measure on $K^{\dim G}$ normalized on $\mathfrak{o}^{\dim G}$. Then the measure $\mu$ given by*

$$\int_{G(K)} f(g) d\mu := \int_{K^{\dim G}} |\alpha(x)| f(\iota(x)) dx,$$

*as $f$ runs through all complex valued Borel functions on $G(K)$, is a left and right Haar measure on $G(K)$ normalized on $G(\mathfrak{o})$.*

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20G25, 03C10, 03C98, 11M41.

*Key words and phrases.* Chevalley groups, Conjugacy classes, $\mathfrak{p}$-adic integration, Denef-Pas language, Cell decomposition, Quantifier elimination.

[†]Supported by the Center for Advanced Studies in Mathematics at Ben Gurion University; [‡] Supported by an Academy of Finland Postdoctoral Fellowship; [§] Supported by URC and Research and Development grants from UCT.

Theorem A shifts the focus to integrals whose domain of integration and integrand are definable in a suitable language. We use $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$, the Denef-Pas language for Henselian valued fields (see [17]), which entails a valued field sort, a residue field sort and an ordered abelian group sort (the latter with top element), equipped with function symbols interpreted as valuation and angular component. For more details, see Section 2 below. The following theorem gives a uniform formula for such integrals.

**Theorem B.** *Let $x$ be an $m$-tuple of valued field variables. Let $\psi(x)$ be an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$-formula and let $\Phi(x)$ be an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$-definable function into the valued field. Assume there is some $\sigma_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the integral $\int_{W_K} |\Phi(x)|^s dx$ converges for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with* $\mathrm{Re(s)} > \sigma_0$ *for all non-Archimedean local fields $K$ of sufficiently large residue characteristic, where $W_K = \{x \in K^m : K \models \psi(x)\}$. Then there exist a constant $N$, rational functions $R_1(X,Y), \dots, R_k(X,Y)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, and formulae $\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_k(x)$ in the language of rings such that for any non-Archimedean local field $K$ with residue field $\mathfrak{K}$ of characteristic at least $N$, and for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with* $\mathrm{Re(s)} > \sigma_0$*, we have*

$$\int_{W_K} |\Phi(x)|^s dx = m_1(\mathfrak{K}) R_1(q, q^{-s}) + \cdots + m_k(\mathfrak{K}) R_k(q, q^{-s}), \tag{1.1}$$

*where $m_i(\mathfrak{K})$ denotes the cardinality of the set of $\mathfrak{K}$-points of $\psi_i(x)$, $q$ is the cardinality of the residue field $\mathfrak{K}$, and $dx$ denotes the Haar measure on $K^m$ normalized such that $\mathfrak{o}^m$ has measure* 1.

In model theoretic language, the sum in (1.1) is known as a uniform expression for the integral. We shall deduce a similar result for integrals of the form $\int_{W_K} q^{-\Theta(x)s} dx$ where $\Theta(x)$ is a definable function from $K^m$ to the value group $\mathbb{Z}$ (Corollary 4.4). For the fields $\mathbb{Q}_p$, Theorem B follows from the proof of Theorem 5.1 in Pas [17], for almost all $p$. We use Pas' methods and generalize his results to non-Archimedean local fields.

The use of model theory to evaluate $\mathfrak{p}$-adic integrals of the form $\int_{\psi(K)} |f(x)|^s dx$, where $\psi(K)$ denotes the definable subset of $K^m$ for a $\mathfrak{p}$-adic field $K$ defined by a formula $\psi(x)$ of the language of valued fields, and $f(x)$ denotes a definable function, originated in the work of Denef. These integrals are generalizations of Igusa's local zeta functions [12]. In [7], Denef proved that such integrals are rational functions in $q^{-s}$, where $q$ is the cardinality of the residue field of $K$. A number of uniformity results for these and related integrals have been proved by Denef [8], Macintyre [15], Pas [17], Denef-Loeser [9] and Cluckers-Loeser [4, 5]. In particular, Macintyre [15] and Denef-Loeser [9] proved that the value of the integrals $\int_{\psi(\mathbb{F}_p((t)))} |f(x)|^s dx$ and $\int_{\psi(\mathbb{Q}_p)} |f(x)|^s dx$ is the same if $p$ is large and $s$ lies in the domain of convergence of the integrals. Theorem B extends this result and is a transfer principle for values of the integrals stating that the value depends only on the size of the residue field.

Our first application of Theorem B concerns a zeta function counting conjugacy classes. Given a Chevalley group $G$ with an embedding into $\mathrm{GL}_d$, consider the congruence subgroups

$$G^m(\mathfrak{o}) := \mathrm{Ker}(G(\mathfrak{o}) \to \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^m))$$

of $G(\mathfrak{o})$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $c_m$ denote the the number of conjugacy classes in the congruence quotient $G(\mathfrak{o}, m) \cong G(\mathfrak{o})/G^m(\mathfrak{o}) \cong G(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^m)$. Following du Sautoy [10], we define the conjugacy class zeta function of $G(\mathfrak{o})$ by

$$\zeta^{\mathrm{cc}}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m q^{-ms}.$$

**Theorem C.** *Let $G$ be a Chevalley group. There exist formulae $\psi_1(x),\dots,\psi_k(x)$ in the language of rings, rational functions $R_1(X,Y)$,...,$R_k(X,Y)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ and a constant $N$, such that for all complete discrete valuation rings $\mathfrak{o}$ with finite residue field $\mathfrak{K}$ of characteristic $p$ and cardinality $q$, where $p > N$, and for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re(s)} > \sigma_0$ for some $\sigma_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, the conjugacy class zeta function depends only on $q$ and can be written as*

$$\zeta^{\mathrm{cc}}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s) = m_1(\mathfrak{K})R_1(q,q^{-s}) + \cdots + m_k(\mathfrak{K})R_k(q,q^{-s}),$$

*where $m_i(\mathfrak{K})$ denotes the cardinality of the set of $\mathfrak{K}$-points of $\psi_i(x)$, for $1 \le i \le k$. In particular, if $\mathfrak{o}$ and $\mathfrak{o}'$ are complete discrete valuation rings with the same finite residue field of characteristic larger than $N$, then $G(\mathfrak{o})$ and $G(\mathfrak{o}')$ have the same conjugacy zeta function. Consequently, the number of conjugacy classes in the groups $G(\mathfrak{o},m)$ and $G(\mathfrak{o}',m)$ is the same for all $m$.*

The rationality of the conjugacy zeta function $\zeta^{\mathrm{cc}}_{G(\mathbb{Z}_p)}(s)$ was proved by du Sautoy [10] for $G$ a compact $p$-adic analytic group. The novelty of Theorem C is that it holds also in positive characteristic and moreover that the resulting zeta function depends only on the size of the residue field.

Our second application is of interest in the study of Hecke modules of intertwining operators of induced representations of Chevalley groups, which are described by means of suitable double coset spaces. Let $H$ be a finite group and let $Q_1, Q_2$ be subgroups of $H$. We write $\rho_i = \mathbf{1}^H_{Q_i}$ for the representation obtained by inducing the trivial representation of $Q_i$ to $H$. The space $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\rho_1,\rho_2)$, which we refer to as the *Hecke module of intertwining operators*, of $H$-maps between the corresponding representation spaces, is a bi-module with a left $\mathrm{End}_H(\rho_1)$-action and right $\mathrm{End}_H(\rho_2)$-action. It admits a geometric description as the space of $Q_2$-$Q_1$ bi-invariant functions $\mathbb{C}[Q_2\backslash H/Q_1]$ and an algebraic description given by $\oplus_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Irr}(H)}\mathrm{Mat}(n_{1,\sigma},n_{2,\sigma};\mathbb{C})$, where $n_{i,\sigma}$ is the multiplicity of an irreducible representation $\sigma$ of $H$ in $\rho_i$. In particular,

$$\dim \mathbb{C}[Q_2\backslash H/Q_1] = \sum_\sigma n_{1,\sigma}n_{2,\sigma}. \tag{1.2}$$

Let $S_1$, $S_2$ be closed sets of roots of a Chevalley group $G$ (see Section 7) and let $P_{S_1}$, $P_{S_2}$ be the corresponding parabolic subgroups of $G$. Let $H = G(\mathfrak{o},m)$ and $Q_i = P_{S_i}(\mathfrak{o},m)$ $(i = 1,2)$, where the latter are defined as the images in $G(\mathfrak{o},m)$ of the $\mathfrak{o}$-points of $P_{S_i}$. For each $m$, put $b_m^{S_1,S_2} = \dim \mathbb{C}[P_{S_2}(\mathfrak{o},m)\backslash G(\mathfrak{o},m)/P_{S_1}(\mathfrak{o},m)]$. We define the zeta function of the Hecke modules of intertwiners of type $(S_1,S_2)$ for $G(\mathfrak{o})$ to be

$$\zeta^{S_1,S_2}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_m^{S_1,S_2} q^{-ms}.$$

**Theorem D.** *Let $G$ be a Chevalley group and let $P_{S_1}$, $P_{S_2}$ be standard parabolics of $G$. There exist a constant $N$, formulae $\psi_1(x),\dots,\psi_k(x)$ in the language of rings and rational functions $R_1(X,Y)$,...,$R_k(X,Y)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ such that for all complete discrete valuation rings $\mathfrak{o}$ with finite residue field $\mathfrak{K}$ of characteristic $p$ and cardinality $q$, where $p > N$, and for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re(s)} > \sigma_0$ for some $\sigma_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, the zeta function of Hecke modules of type $(S_1,S_2)$ depends only on $q$ and can be written as*

$$\zeta^{S_1,S_2}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s) = m_1(\mathfrak{K})R_1(q,q^{-s}) + \cdots + m_k(\mathfrak{K})R_k(q,q^{-s}),$$

*where $m_i(\mathfrak{K})$ denotes the cardinality of the set of $\mathfrak{K}$-points of $\psi_i(x)$, for $1 \le i \le k$. In particular, if $\mathfrak{o}$ and $\mathfrak{o}'$ are compact discrete valuation rings with residue fields of characteristic larger than $N$ and of the same cardinality, then $G(\mathfrak{o})$ and $G(\mathfrak{o}')$ have the* *same zeta functions of Hecke modules. Consequently, the numbers* $b_m^{S_1,S_2}$ *depend only on* $q$*.*

To prove Theorems C and D, we express the zeta function of each one of the above types in terms of integrals over the group $G(\mathfrak{o}) \times G(\mathfrak{o})$ with respect to a normalized Haar measure, with integrands defined by certain definable conditions. This is done by applying an appropriate counting principle to the finite groups $G(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^m)$. Next, we re-write each integral in terms of integrals over the affine space $K^d$ with respect to the standard additive Haar measure, using Theorem A. Finally, we use the fact that the latter are definable with respect to the language $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$. We then apply Theorem B.

1.1. **Notation.** $K$ stands for a non-Archimedean local field, $\mathfrak{o}$ its discrete valuation ring, $\mathfrak{K}$ the residue field, $\mathfrak{p}$ the maximal ideal and $\pi$ a uniformizer. The valuation on $K$ is denoted $v(\cdot)$. If $G$ is an algebraic group then its $\mathfrak{o}$-points are denoted by $G(\mathfrak{o})$, $G^m(\mathfrak{o})$ is the $m^{\text{th}}$ congruence kernel and $G(\mathfrak{o}, m)$ is the $m^{\text{th}}$ congruence quotient. For a locally compact topological group $\mu_G$ stands for a Haar measure on $G$. We use $d_G$ as shorthand for $\dim G$.

1.2. **Organization of the paper.** In Section 2 we describe the Denef-Pas language for Henselian valued fields. Section 3 concerns cell-decomposition and quantifier elimination for local fields. In Section 4 we prove Theorem B. Theorem A is proved in Section 5. In Sections 6 and 7 we prove Theorems C and D, respectively, by applying Theorems A and B. In the last section we make some concluding remarks.

1.3. **Acknowledgments.** We thank Nir Avni, Raf Cluckers, Julia Gordon, Assaf Hasson, Tapani Hyttinen, Jonathan Kirby, Jochen Koenigsmann, Francois Loeser, Angus Macintyre, Jonathan Pila, Philip Scowcroft, Ilya Tyomkin, and Boris Zilber for various illuminating discussions during the course of this work. The first author is grateful to Nadia Gurevich for providing a postdoctoral grant and to the Academy of Finland for funding a research visit to the University of Helsinki. The first, second, and fourth authors are very grateful to Ben Gurion University for support and hospitality.

## 2. THE DENEF-PAS LANGUAGE FOR HENSELIAN VALUED FIELDS

2.1. Let $K$ denote a valued field with valuation $v : K \to \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$, where $\Gamma$ is an ordered abelian group. Let $\mathfrak{o}$ denote the valuation ring of $K$ and $\mathfrak{K}$ the residue field. Let $\mathrm{Res} : \mathfrak{o} \to \mathfrak{K}$ denote the residue map. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ denote the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{o}$. An angular component map on $K$ modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ is a map $\overline{\mathrm{ac}} : K \to \mathfrak{K}$ satisfying $\overline{\mathrm{ac}}(0) = 0$, $\overline{\mathrm{ac}}(xy) = \overline{\mathrm{ac}}(x)\overline{\mathrm{ac}}(y)$ if $x, y \neq 0$, and $\overline{\mathrm{ac}}(x) = \mathrm{Res}(x)$ if $v(x) = 0$. A cross section on $K$ is a homomorphism $\mathfrak{s} : \Gamma \to K^*$ satisfying $v \circ \mathfrak{s} = 1_\Gamma$, extended to $\Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ by $\mathfrak{s}(\infty) = 0$.

For any field $F$, the field of Laurent series $F((t))$ carries a natural angular component map modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ defined by $\overline{\mathrm{ac}}(\sum_{i \geq l} a_i t^i) = a_l$ where $a_i \in F$ and $a_l \neq 0$, and a natural cross section defined by $\mathfrak{s}(n) = t^n$ (note that $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ in this example). If $K$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with uniformizing element $\pi$ (i.e. an element of least positive value taken to be 1), then $K$ has an angular component map defined by $\overline{\mathrm{ac}}(x) = \mathrm{Res}(x\pi^{-v(x)})$ for $x \neq 0$, and cross section defined by $\mathfrak{s}(n) = \pi^n$. Note that up to a normalization of the valuation, we may assume in both cases that the value group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ and take 1 as least positive element. If a valued field has a cross section $\mathfrak{s}$, then it also has an angular component map modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ defined by $\overline{\mathrm{ac}}(x) = \mathrm{Res}(x\mathfrak{s}(-v(x)))$ if $x \neq 0$. By a theorem of Cherlin [3], an $\aleph_1$-saturated valued field has a cross section, hence an angular component map. Thus any valued field has an elementary extension with a cross section.

It is not difficult to construct examples of valued fields which do not have an angular component map modulo $\mathfrak{p}$, but these examples will not concern us.

We shall be considering ultraproducts $\prod_{i\in I} K_i/\mathfrak{U}$ of valued fields $K_i$ with respect to an ultrafilter $\mathfrak{U}$ on a countable index set $I$. Such fields always have a cross section and hence an angular component map. Indeed, any ultraproduct of fields $K_i$ with cross sections $\mathfrak{s}_i$ has a cross section $\mathfrak{s}$ defined by $\mathfrak{s}((x_i)^*) = (\mathfrak{s}_i(x_i))^*$ where $*$ denotes an equivalence class in the ultraproduct.

2.2. The Denef-Pas language $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$ for valued fields is a family of first-order three-sorted languages of the form

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\text{Val}}, \mathcal{L}_{\text{Res}}, \mathcal{L}_{\text{Ord}}, v, \overline{\text{ac}}),$$

with

(i) the language of rings $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Val}} = \{+, -, \cdot\ , 0, 1\}$ for the valued field sort,

(ii) the language of rings $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Res}} = \{+, -, \cdot\ , 0, 1\}$ for the residue field sort,

(iii) the language $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Ord}}$, which is an extension of the language $\{+, \infty, \leq\}$ of ordered abelian groups with an element $\infty$, which is interpreted as a top element, for the value group sort,

(iv) a function symbol $v$ from the valued field sort to the value group sort, which is interpreted as a valuation,

(v) a function symbol $\overline{\text{ac}}$ from the valued field sort to the residue field sort, which is interpreted as an angular component map modulo $\mathfrak{p}$.

Any fixed extension of the language $\{+, \infty, \leq\}$ gives an example of the Denef-Pas language.

2.3. We shall work in an example of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$ which is the language

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas,P}} := (\mathcal{L}_{\text{Val}}, \mathcal{L}_{\text{Res}}, \mathcal{L}_{\text{Pres}\infty}, v, \overline{\text{ac}})$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Pres}\infty} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{Pres}} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Pres}} = \{+, 0, 1, \leq\} \cup \{\equiv_n : n \geq 1\}$ is the Presburger language for ordered abelian groups. If we interpret $\equiv_n$ as congruence modulo $n$, then a non-Archimedean local field or an ultraproduct of such fields will be a structure for $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas,P}}$, where the value groups are respectively $\mathbb{Z}$ and an ultrapower of $\mathbb{Z}$.

2.4. The letters $x, y, u, \ldots$ will denote tuples of valued field variables, $\xi, \rho, \eta, \ldots$ tuples of residue field variables, and $k, l, \ldots$ tuples of value group variables. Formulae of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$ are built up with the rules of many-sorted language using the logical connectives $\wedge$ (and), $\vee$ (or), $\neg$ (negation), the quantifiers $\exists$ (exists) and $\forall$ (for all), and $=$(equality). Formulae will be written in the form $\psi(x, \xi, k)$, where $x, \xi, k$ are valued field, residue field, and value group variables, respectively. For more information on many-sorted logic see [11], pp. 277-281.

We shall be considering valued fields $(K, \mathfrak{K}, \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}, v, \overline{\text{ac}})$ as a structure for $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$ where the function symbols $v$ and $\overline{\text{ac}}$ are interpreted as valuation and angular component modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ respectively. By abuse of language we abbreviate this as $K$. Given such a field $K$ and an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$-formula $\psi(x, \xi, k)$, where $x$ is an $m$-tuple, $\xi$ an $n$-tuple, and $k$ an $s$-tuple, the definable set in $K$ defined by $\psi(x, \xi, k)$ is the set of all $(a, d, c) \in K^m \times \mathfrak{K}^n \times \Gamma^s$ such that $K \models \psi(a, d, c)$.

Throughout, any 'definable' object stands for $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$-definable unless specified otherwise.

## 3. Quantifier elimination and cell decomposition

In this section we obtain uniform cell decomposition and quantifier elimination for non-Archimedean local fields of large residue characteristic using the Denef-Pas cell decomposition theorem. Other closely related cell decomposition theorems have been obtained in [4, 5, 9, 15, 17].

Let $T$ be a first-order theory in a multi-sorted language $\mathcal{L}$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a sort in $\mathcal{L}$. Then $T$ is said to have quantifier elimination in $\mathcal{L}$ in the sort $\mathcal{S}$ if for every $\mathcal{L}$-formula $\phi(x)$ there is an $\mathcal{L}$-formula $\psi(x)$ which has no quantifiers of the sort $\mathcal{S}$ such that $T \vdash \forall x(\phi(x) \leftrightarrow \psi(x))$. If $M$ is an $\mathcal{L}$-structure, then $M$ is said to have quantifier elimination in $\mathcal{L}$ in the sort $\mathcal{S}$ if the $\mathcal{L}$-theory of $M$ has this property.

Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{H,ac,0}}$ denote the $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$-theory of Henselian valued fields $K$ of residue characteristic zero such that there is an angular component map $\overline{\mathrm{ac}} : K \to \mathfrak{K}$. Note that the condition of being Henselian can be expressed by countably many sentences.

**Definition 3.1** (**Cells**, cf. [17, Definition 2.9]). Let $t$ and $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ be valued field sort variables and $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)$ residue field sort variables. Let $C$ be a definable subset of $K^m \times \mathfrak{K}^n$. Let $b_1(x, \xi), b_2(x, \xi), c(x, \xi)$ be definable functions from $C$ to $K$, $\lambda$ a positive integer, and $\Diamond_1, \Diamond_2$ be $<, \leq$ or no condition. For each $\xi \in \mathfrak{K}^n$, let $A(\xi)$ denote the set of all $(x, t)$ from $K^m \times K$ such that $(x, \xi) \in C, v(b_1(x, \xi)) \Diamond_1 \lambda v(t - c(x, \xi)) \Diamond_2 v(b_2(x, \xi))$, and $\overline{\mathrm{ac}}(t - c(x, \xi)) = \xi_1$.

Suppose that the $A(\xi)$ are distinct; then $A = \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathfrak{K}^n} A(\xi)$ is called a cell in $K^m \times K$ with parameters $\xi$ and center $c(x, \xi)$, and $A(\xi)$ is called a fiber of the cell. Following [15], we call $\Theta = (C, b_1(x, \xi), b_2(x, \xi), c(x, \xi), \lambda)$ the datum of the cell $A$ and we say that $A$ is defined by $\Theta$.

**Definition 3.2** (**Uniform cell decomposition**, cf. [17, Theorem 3.2]). Let $t$ and $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ be variables of the valued field sort. Let $f_1(x, t), \ldots, f_r(x, t)$ be polynomials in $t$ whose coefficients are definable functions in $x$, i.e. expressions of the form $\sum_k a_k(x) t^k$ where the $a_k(x)$ are definable functions of $x$. For example, polynomials in $x, t$ have this form. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a class of valued fields. We say that the fields in $\mathcal{F}$ have uniform cell decomposition of dimension $m$ with respect to the polynomials $f_1(x, t), \ldots, f_r(x, t)$ if, for some positive integer $N$, there exist

- a non-negative integer $n$ and definable sets $C_i$ in $K^m \times \mathfrak{K}^n$;
- definable functions $b_{i,1}(x, \xi), b_{i,2}(x, \xi), c_i(x, \xi), h_{ij}(x, \xi)$ from $K^m \times \mathfrak{K}^n$ into $K$;
- positive integers $\lambda_i$;
- non-negative integers $w_{ij}$;
- functions $\mu_i : \{1, \ldots, r\} \to \{1, \ldots, n\}$

where $i = 1, \ldots, N$ and $j = 1, \ldots, r$, such that

- for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, $\Theta_i = (C_i, b_{i,1}(x, \xi), b_{i,2}(x, \xi), c_i(x, \xi), \lambda_i)$ is a cell datum;
- for each field $K$ from $\mathcal{F}$, the set $K^m \times K$ is the union of the cells $A_i$ defined by $\Theta_i$ in $K$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$;
- for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and $(x, t) \in A_i(\xi)$, we have
$$\begin{aligned} v(f_j(x, t)) &= v\left(h_{ij}(x, \xi)(t - c(x, \xi))^{w_{ij}}\right); \\ \overline{\mathrm{ac}}(f_j(x, t)) &= \xi_{\mu_i(j)} \end{aligned}$$
  where the integers $w_{ij}$ and the maps $\mu_i$ do not depend on $(x, \xi, t)$.

The following cell decomposition theorem was proved by Pas [17] based on the cell decomposition theorem of Denef for a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, see [8], which was in turn based on a cell decomposition theorem of P.J.Cohen [6].

**Theorem 3.3.** [17, Section 3] *Let $t$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ be valued field sort variables. Let $f_1(x,t), \dots, f_r(x,t)$ be polynomials in $t$ with coefficients definable functions in $x$. Then the class of all models of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{H,ac,0}}$ has uniform cell decomposition of dimension $m$ with respect to the polynomials $f_i(x,t)$ for $i = 1, \cdots, r$.*

The following quantifier elimination theorem follows.

**Theorem 3.4.** [17, Theorem 4.1] *The theory $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{H,ac,0}}$ admits elimination of quantifiers in the valued field sort. It follows easily that every $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$-formula $\psi(x, \xi, k)$ without parameters, where $x$ is a tuple of variables in the valued field sort, $\xi$ a tuple of variables in the residue field sort, and $k$ a tuple of variables in the value group sort, is $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{H,ac,0}}$-equivalent to a finite disjunction of formulae of the form*

$$\chi(\overline{\mathrm{ac}}(g_1(x)), \dots, \overline{\mathrm{ac}}(g_s(x)), \xi) \wedge \theta(v(g_1(x)), \dots, v(g_s(x)), k),$$

*where $\chi(x, \xi)$ is an $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Res}}$-formula, $\theta(x, k)$ an $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Ord}}$-formula, and $g_1(x), \dots, g_r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.*

Now we consider how to transfer statements from models of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{H,ac,0}}$ to non-Archimedean local fields.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let $\phi$ be a sentence of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$. Assume that $\phi$ holds in all models of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{H,ac,0}}$. Then there exists some constant $c = c(\phi)$ such that $\phi$ holds in all non-Archimedean local fields $K$ with residue characteristic at least $c$.*

*Proof.* Suppose the contrary; then there are infinitely many non-Archimedean local fields $K_1, \dots, K_i, \dots$ of increasing residue characteristic such that for all $n \geq 1$, $K_n \models \neg\phi$. Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be a non-principal ultrafilter on the set of natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$, and $L$ the ultraproduct $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n / \mathfrak{U}$. Then $L \models \neg\phi$. But $L$ has residue characteristic zero and is an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$-structure, hence is a model of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{H,ac,0}}$, which is a contradiction. □

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let $f_1(x,t), \dots, f_r(x,t)$ be as in Theorem 3.3. Then there is some constant $c = c(m; f_1(x,t), \dots, f_r(x,t))$ such that the class of all non-Archimedean local fields of residue characteristic at least $c$ has uniform cell decomposition of dimension $m$ with respect to the polynomials $f_i(x,t)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.3, the class of all models of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{H,ac,0}}$ has uniform cell decomposition with respect to the polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_r$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, denote the cell data by $\Theta_i(C_i, b_{i,1}(x,\xi), b_{i,2}(x,\xi), c_i(x,\xi), \lambda_i)$. Let $h_{ij}(x,\xi)$, $v_{ij}$, and $\mu_i$ be as in Definition 3.2. Then every model of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{H,ac,0}}$ satisfies the conjunction $\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2$, where $\psi_1$ is the formula

$$\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_m \forall t \exists \xi \left( \bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq N} (x, \xi) \in C_i \wedge v(b_{i,1}(x,\xi)) \Diamond_1 \lambda_i v(t - c(x,\xi)) \Diamond_2 v(b_{i,2}(x,\xi)) \right)$$

and $\psi_2$ is the formula

$$\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq N} \Bigg( \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_m \forall t \forall \xi [(x,\xi) \in C_i \wedge v(b_{i,1}(x,\xi)) \Diamond_1 \lambda_i v(t - c(x,\xi)) \Diamond_2 v(b_{i,2}(x,\xi))]$$
$$\longrightarrow \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq r} \left[ v(f_j(x,t)) = v(h_{ij}(x,\xi)(t - c(x,\xi))^{v_{ij}}) \wedge \overline{\mathrm{ac}}(f_j(x,t)) = \xi_{\mu_i(j)} \right] \Bigg).$$

By Lemma 3.5 there is some $c$ such that the sentence $\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2$ holds in all non-Archimedean local fields $K$ of residue characteristic at least $c$. For all such $K$, the space $K^m$ has cell decomposition as specified by $\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2$, hence the cell decomposition is uniform. □

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let $\psi(x,\xi,k)$ be an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$-formula. Then there is a constant $c$ and an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$-formula $\phi(x,\xi,k)$ without quantifiers of the valued field sort such that $\phi(x,\xi,k)$ and $\psi(x,\xi,k)$ are equivalent in all non-Archimedean local fields of residue characterstic at least $c$. Furthermore, $\phi(x,\xi,k)$ can be expressed as a finite disjunction of formulae of the form*

$$\chi(\overline{\mathrm{ac}}(g_1(x)),\dots,\overline{\mathrm{ac}}(g_s(x)),\xi)\wedge\theta(v(g_1(x)),\dots,v(g_s(x)),k),$$

*where $\chi(x,\xi)$ is an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Res}}$-formula, $\theta(x,k)$ an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Ord}}$-formula, and $g_1(x),\dots,g_r(x)\in\mathbb{Z}[x]$.*

*Proof.* Follows from Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.5. □

## 4. INTEGRATION AND DEFINABLE SETS

In this section we prove Theorem B using the methods of Pas [17].

4.1. **Integrals as sums over the residue field and value group.** The following theorem shows that the measure of a subset of $K^{m+1}$ defined by a formula in the three-sorted language $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$ can be expressed in terms of measures of subsets which are defined by a quantifier-free formula in the value field sort and involve one less valued field variable. This comes at the cost of introducing extra residue field and value group variables. The theorem generalizes Lemma 5.2 in [17]. With Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 in hand, Pas' proof easily adapts to our case.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let $y,\rho,k$ be (tuples of) valued field, residue field, and value group variables respectively. Let $x=(x_1,\dots,x_m)$ and $t$ be valued field variables and $\psi(x,t,y,\rho,k)$ an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$-formula without quantifiers of valued field sort. Consider the parametrized integral*

$$\int_{W_K(y,\rho,k)} dxdt$$

*where $W_K(y,\rho,k)=\{(x,t)\in K^m : K\models\psi(x,t,y,\rho,k)\}$, and $dx$ (resp. $dt$) is the normalized Haar measure on $K^m$ (resp. $K$) such that $\mathfrak{o}^m$ (resp. $\mathfrak{o}$) has measure $1$. Then there are $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$-formulae $\phi_1(x,\xi^{(1)},l,y,\rho,k),\dots,\phi_N(x,\xi^{(N)},l,y,\rho,k)$ without quantifiers of valued field sort, where $\xi^{(i)}$ and $l$ are residue field sort and value group sort variables, of arities $n(i)$ and $1$, respectively, and a constant $c$ such that, for all non-Archimedean local fields $K$ of residue characteristic at least $c$,*

$$\int_{W_K(y,\rho,k)} dxdt = q^{-1}\sum_{1\le i\le N}\ \sum_{\xi^{(i)}\in\mathfrak{K}^{n(i)}}\ \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{-l}\int_{E_K^{(i)}(\xi^{(i)},l,y,\rho,k)} dx$$

*where*

$$E_K^{(i)}(\xi^{(i)},l,y,\rho,k)=\{x\in K^m : K\models\phi_i(x,\xi^{(i)},l,y,\rho,k)\}.$$

*Proof.* In the formula $\psi$, the variable $t$ appears only in the terms of the form $v(f(y,x,t))$ and $\overline{\mathrm{ac}}(f(y,x,t))$, where $f(y,x,t)$ is a polynomial in $(y,x,t)$ with integer coefficients. Suppose $y$ is an $e$-tuple. We apply cell decomposition to the $(y,x,t)$-space $K^{e+m}\times K$. By Theorem 3.6, there is some constant $c$ such that for any non-Archimedean local field $K$ of residue characteristic at least $c$, the space $K^{e+m}\times K$ has uniform cell decomposition with respect to the polynomial $f(y,x,t)$. Let $A_K^{(1)},\dots,A_K^{(N)}$ denote the cells. For each $y$, let $B_K^{(i)}(y)=\{(x,t)\in K^m\times K : (y,x,t)\in A_K^{(i)}\}$. Then

$$\int_{W_K(y,\rho,k)} dxdt = \sum_{1\le i\le N}\int_{W_K(y,\rho,k)\cap B_K^{(i)}(y)} dxdt.$$

Let $A_K$ denote one of the cells $A_K^{(i)}$, and $B_K(y)$ the corresponding $B_K^{(i)}(y)$. It suffices to compute the integral $\int_{W_K(y,\rho,k)\cap B_K(y)} dxdt$.

The cell $A_K$ has some parameters $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)$ and is specified by the datum $(C, b_1(x,\xi), b_2(x,\xi), c(x,\xi), \lambda)$. By cell decomposition, if $(y,x,t) \in A_K$, then $(y,x,t) \in A(\xi)$, thus for some $h, c, w, \mu$ as in the definition of a cell, and depending on $f(y,x,t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(f(y,x,t)) &= v(h(y,x,\xi)) + wv(t - c(y,x,\xi)), \text{ and} \\ \overline{\mathrm{ac}}(f(y,x,t)) &= \xi_{\mu(f)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus on $A_K$ the formula $\psi(x,t,y,\rho,k)$ is equivalent to a formula, without valued field sort quantifiers, of the form $\theta(x,\xi,v(t-c(y,x,\xi)),y,\rho,k)$. The set $W_K(y,\rho,k) \cap B_K(y)$ can be written in the form

$$\bigcup_{\xi\in\mathfrak{K}^n} \{(x,t) \in K^m \times K : v(b_1(y,x,\xi))\Diamond_1 \lambda v(t - c(y,x,\xi))\Diamond_2 v(b_2(y,x,\xi)),$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{ac}}(t - c(y,x,\xi)) = \xi_1, (y,x,\xi) \in C, K \models \theta(x,\xi,v(t - c(y,x,\xi))), y,\rho,k)\}.$$

Since the valuation takes discrete values, this set can be written as

$$\bigcup_{\xi\in\mathfrak{K}^n} \bigcup_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} \{(x,t) \in K^m \times K : x \in E_K(\xi,l,y,\rho,k), v(t-c(y,x,\xi)) = l, \overline{\mathrm{ac}}(t-c(y,x,\xi)) = \xi_1\},$$

where $E_K(\xi,l,y,\rho,k)$ denotes the set

$$\{x \in K^m : (y,x,\xi) \in C, v(b_1(y,x,\xi))\Diamond_1\lambda l \Diamond_2 v(b_2(y,x,\xi)), K \models \theta(x,\xi,l,y,\rho,k)\},$$

which is clearly defined with no quantifiers of the valued field sort. Therefore

$$\int_{W_K(y,\rho,k)\cap B_K(y)} dxdt = \sum_{\xi\in\mathfrak{K}^n} \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{E_K(\xi,l,y,\rho,k)} \left( \int_{\substack{v(t-c(y,x,\xi))=l \\ \overline{\mathrm{ac}}(t-c(y,x,\xi))=\xi_1}} dt \right) dx.$$

Doing a linear change of variables $t - c(y,x,\xi) \mapsto t$, we can assume that $c(y,x,\xi) = 0$. The inner integral thus becomes

$$\int_{\substack{v(t)=l \\ \overline{\mathrm{ac}}(t)=\xi_1}} dt = q^{-l-1}.$$

The proof is complete. □

We now evaluate sums over definable sets in the value group sort.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let $L$ be the set of all $(k_1, \ldots, k_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ such that $k_1, \ldots, k_m$ satisfy a finite system of linear inequalities with integer coefficients. Let $A_1(s), \ldots, A_m(s)$ be linear polynomials in $s$ with integer coefficients. Let $q \geq 1$ be an integer. Suppose that*

$$J(s,q) = \sum_{(k_1,\ldots,k_m)\in L} q^{-\sum_{1\leq i\leq m} k_i A_i(s)}$$

*is convergent for $s \in S$, where $S$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Then $J(s,q)$ is a rational function of $q^{-s}$ uniformly in $q$ for $s \in S$.*

*Proof.* The first statement is Lemma 7.5 in [8] and the second statement follows from its proof. □

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let* $\psi(l_1, \ldots, l_m, n)$ *be a formula of* $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}\infty}$. *Let*

$$E = \big\{(l_1, \ldots, l_m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+1} : \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\} \models \psi(l_1, \ldots, l_m, n)\big\} \quad \text{and}$$
$$J(s,q) = \sum_{(l_1,\ldots,l_m,n)\in E} q^{-ns-l_1-\cdots-l_m}.$$

*Suppose that for some open set* $S$ *in* $\mathbb{R}$ *and some set* $X$ *of positive integers, the sum* $J(s,q)$ *converges for* $s \in S$ *and* $q \in X$. *Then there exist polynomials* $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[X,Y]$ *and* $\sigma_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that*

$$J(s,q) = \frac{P(q, q^{-s})}{Q(q, q^{-s})}$$

*for all* $s \in \mathbb{C}$ *with* $\mathrm{Re(s)} > \sigma_0$ *and for all* $q \in X$.

*Proof.* Since $\mathbb{Z}\cup\{\infty\}$ has elimination of quantifiers in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}\infty}$ [17, Lemma 5.5] (as follows from Presburger's theorem on elimination of quantifiers for $\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$, cf. [11, p. 188]), we may assume that $\psi(l_1, \ldots, l_m, n)$ is quantifier-free. We can put $\psi(l_1, \ldots, l_m, n)$ in disjunctive normal form $\bigvee_i \theta_i$ and assume that the disjunction is over formulae defining disjoint sets. So $J(s,q)$ is a finite sum of summations of the form

$$\bar{J}(s,q) = \sum_{\theta(l_1,\ldots,l_m,n)} q^{-ns-l_1-\cdots-l_m}$$

where $\theta$ is a conjunction of atomic formulae or negated atomic formulae.

Let $d$ be the product of all integers $j$ such that the symbol $\equiv_j$ appears in the formula $\theta$. We break the sum $J(s,q)$ into sums over residue classes of $l_1, \ldots, l_m, n$ modulo $d$. Let $D \subset \mathbb{N}^{m+1}$ be a complete set of representatives for the residue classes. For $\bar{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_m, c) \in D$, let $E_{\bar{c}}$ denote the set of all $(l_1, \ldots, l_m, n)$ from $\mathbb{Z}^{m+1}$ such that $l_j \equiv_d c_j$ for $1 \le j \le m$, $n \equiv_d c$, and $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\} \models \theta(l_1, \ldots, l_m, n)$.

On each set $E_{\bar{c}}$, the congruences in $\theta$ are identically true or identically false. So if $E_{\bar{c}}$ is non-empty, then on it, $\theta$ is a system of linear inequalities. Let $D' = \{\bar{c} : E_{\bar{c}} \neq \varnothing\}$. Then

$$\bar{J}(s,q) = \sum_{\bar{c}\in D'} \sum_{(l_1,\ldots,l_m,n)\in E_{\bar{c}}} q^{-ns-l_1-\cdots-l_m}.$$

Putting $l_j = c_j + dl'_j$ and $n = c + dn'$ and

$$L = \{(l'_1, \ldots, l'_m, n') \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+1} : \mathbb{Z} \cup \infty \models \theta(c_1 + dl'_1, \ldots, c_m + dl'_m, c + dn')\},$$

it follows that

$$\bar{J}(s,q) = \sum_{(c_1,\ldots,c_m,c)\in D'} q^{-sc-c_1-\cdots-c_m} \sum_{(l'_1,\ldots,l'_m,n')\in L} q^{-dn's-dl'_1-\cdots-dl'_m}.$$

Since $\theta$ is a system of linear inequalities, $L$ is defined by a system of linear inequalities in $l'_1, \ldots, l'_m, n'$. By Lemma 4.2, there are polynomials $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[X,Y]$ such that for all $s \in S$ and $q \in X$,

$$\sum_{(l'_1,\ldots,l'_m,n')\in L} q^{-dn's-dl'_1-\cdots-dl'_m} = \frac{P(q, q^{-s})}{Q(q, q^{-s})}.$$

This sum converges for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re(s)} > \sigma_0$ for some $\sigma_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and converges uniformly in every closed bounded set in the region $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Re(s)} > \sigma_0\}$. Therefore the equality holds for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re(s)} > \sigma_0$.

□

4.2. **Proof of Theorem B.** Take $K$ of sufficiently large residue characteristic, say $c$. Throughout the proof, each time we apply Theorems 3.7 and 4.1, we need to increase $c$ to exclude further primes. Note that

$$\int_{W_K} |\Phi(x)|^s \, dx = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{-ns} \cdot \int_{A_n} dx,$$

where $A_n = \{a \in W_K : v(\Phi(a)) = n\}$. By Theorem 3.7, we may assume that $\psi(x)$ does not contain valued field quantifiers and using Theorem 4.1 repeatedly, we deduce that there exists a positive integer $e$ such $\int_{A_n} dx$ can be written uniformly for all non-Archimedean local fields $K$ of sufficiently large residue characteristic as a finite sum of expressions of the form

$$q^{-m} \sum_{\xi\in\mathfrak{K}^e} \sum_{\substack{l_1,\dots,l_m\in\mathbb{Z}\\ \phi(\xi,l_1,\dots,l_m,n)}} q^{-l_1-\cdots-l_m},$$

where by Theorem 3.7 $\phi$ does not contain valued field variables. By [17, Lemma 5.3], $\phi$ is equivalent to

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^{k} (\chi_i(\xi) \wedge \theta_i(l_1,\dots,l_m,n))$$

where $\chi_i$ is an $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Res}}$-formula and $\theta_i$ an $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}\infty}$-formula for each $i$. Thus $\int_{W_K} |\Phi(x)|_{\mathfrak{p}}^s \, dx$ is a finite sum of expressions of the form

$$q^{-m} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\substack{\xi\in\mathfrak{K}^e\\ \mathfrak{K}\models\chi_i(\xi)}} 1 \sum_{\substack{l_1,\dots,l_m,n\in\mathbb{Z}\\ \mathbb{Z}\cup\infty\models\theta_i(l_1,\dots,l_m,n)}} q^{-ns-l_1-\cdots-l_m}.$$

Note that $\sum_{\substack{\xi\in\mathfrak{K}^e\\ \chi_i(\xi)}} 1$ is the cardinality of the set defined by $\chi_i(\xi)$ in $\mathfrak{K}^e$. It remains to show that the sums $\sum_{\substack{l_1,\dots,l_m,n\in\mathbb{Z}\\ \theta_i(l_1,\dots,l_m,n)}} q^{-ns-l_1-\cdots-l_m}$ can be written as rational functions over $\mathbb{Z}$ uniformly in $q$. These sums are convergent for $s$ lying in some open set in $\mathbb{R}$ since all the terms in the sum are positive and by assumption the integral $\int_{W_K} |\Phi(x)|^s \, dx$ is convergent for $s$ in an open set in $\mathbb{R}$. Thus we can apply Lemma 4.3, and the proof is complete.

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let $x$ be an $m$-tuple of field variables. Let $W_K$ be as in Theorem B. Let $\Theta : K^m \to \mathbb{Z}$ be an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$-definable function. Assume there is some $\sigma_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the integral $\int_{W_K} q^{-\Theta(x)s}dx$ converges for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with* Re(s) $\geq \sigma_0$ *for all non-Archimedean local fields $K$ of sufficiently large residue characteristic. Then there exist a constant $N$, rational functions $R_1(X,Y),\dots,R_k(X,Y)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, and formulae $\psi_1(x),\dots,\psi_k(x)$ in the language of rings such that for all non-Archimedean local fields $K$ with residue field $\mathfrak{K}$ of characteristic at least $N$, and for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with* Re(s) $> \sigma_0$, *we have*

$$\int_{W_K} q^{-\Theta(x)s}dx = m_1(\mathfrak{K})R_1(q,q^{-s}) + \cdots + m_k(\mathfrak{K})R_k(q,q^{-s}).$$

*where $m_i(\mathfrak{K})$ denotes the cardinality of the set of $\mathfrak{K}$-points of $\psi_i(x)$, $q$ is the cardinality of the residue field $\mathfrak{K}$, and $dx$ denotes the Haar measure on $K^m$ normalized such that $\mathfrak{o}^m$ has measure* 1.

*Proof.* By [1, Proposition 4.15] there exist a constant $N$, positive integers $n_1,\dots,n_l$, formulae $\phi_1(x),\dots,\phi_l(x)$ and rational functions $Q_1,\dots,Q_l \in \mathbb{Q}(x)$, such that for all non-Archimedean local fields of residue characteristic greater than $N$, the domain $K^m$ is a union of the sets $A_i$ defined by $\phi_i$ and for all $x \in A_i$ one has $\Theta(x) = \frac{1}{n_i} v(Q_i(x))$. Therefore,

$$\int_{W_K} q^{-\Theta(x)s} dx = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \int_{A_i \cap W_K} |Q_i(x)|^{s/n_i} dx,$$

and by applying Theorem B on each of the summands the result follows.

□

## 5. Measure-preserving change of variables

5.1. **Chevalley groups.** We recall the construction of Chevalley groups (see [18] for more details). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a $d$-dimensional semisimple complex Lie algebra and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra. Let $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the set of roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\Sigma^+ = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ be a set of positive roots, $\Sigma^\circ = \{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_\ell\} \subset \Sigma^+$ the simple roots and set $\Sigma^- = \Sigma \setminus \Sigma^+$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ decomposes as $\mathfrak{h} \oplus (\oplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha)$ with respect to the adjoint $\mathfrak{h}$-action.

Let $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\} \cup \{H_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma^\circ\}$ be a Chevalley basis for $\mathfrak{g}$. For $\alpha \in \Sigma$ the map $x_\alpha : \mathbb{G}_a \to \mathrm{GL}_d$ defined by $x_\alpha(t) := \exp(t\mathrm{ad}(X_\alpha))$ is a polynomial isomorphism defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ onto its image $\mathfrak{X}_\alpha$. For any simple root $\alpha \in \Sigma^\circ$ let $h_\alpha(t) := x_\alpha(t) x_{-\alpha}(-t^{-1}) x_\alpha(t)$, which is an isomorphism $\mathbb{G}_m \to \mathcal{H}_\alpha \subset \mathrm{GL}_d$. Let

$$U = \mathfrak{X}_{\alpha_1} \dots \mathfrak{X}_{\alpha_r}, \qquad T = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1} \dots \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_\ell}, \qquad U^- = \mathfrak{X}_{-\alpha_1} \dots \mathfrak{X}_{-\alpha_r},$$

where we have fixed a total ordering on the roots which refines the standard partial ordering to make the product uniquely defined. The group $G$ generated by all the groups $\mathfrak{X}_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in \Sigma$) is called a Chevalley group. For any local field $K$ the group of $K$-points of $G$ is locally compact and hence admits a Haar measure, which is both left and right invariant. Our aim is to construct it in a definable manner. We have the following maps

$$\mathbb{G}_a^{\Sigma^-} \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\Sigma^\circ} \times \mathbb{G}_a^{\Sigma^+} \xrightarrow{\Psi} U^- \times T \times U \xrightarrow{\Phi} G,$$

where $\Psi = (\Psi^-, \Psi^\circ, \Psi^+)$ is defined by

$$\Psi^\pm((a_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\pm}) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\pm} x_\alpha(a_\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi^\circ((a_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\circ}) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\circ} h_\alpha(a_\alpha),$$

and $\Phi$ is the product map: $\Phi(x, t, y) = xty$. It is well known (cf. [13]) that $\Phi$ is an isomorphism of algebraic varieties whose image is the big Bruhat cell $U^- T U$. For any local field $K$, the measure of the $K$-points of the big cell in $G(K)$ is full.

5.2. **Proof of Theorem A.** For a locally compact group $G$ we let $\Delta_G : G \to \mathbb{R}^+$ denote its modular function. It can be viewed also as the modulus of the automorphism defined by conjugation. The following theorem is stated in [13, Theorem 8.32] for real Lie groups, however, the proof works *mutatis mutandis* for locally compact groups.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let $G$ be a locally compact group and let $S$ and $T$ be closed subgroups such that $S \cap T$ is compact, the multiplication map $S \times T \to G$ is an open map, and the set of products $ST$ exhausts $G$ except possibly for a set of Haar measure zero. Let $\Delta_T$ and $\Delta_G$ denote the modular functions of $T$ and $G$. Then the measure $\nu$ on $G$ defined by*

$$\int_G f d\nu = \int_{S \times T} f(st) \Delta_T(t) / \Delta_G(t) d_l s d_l t$$

*for all measurable functions $f \geq 0$ on $G$, is a left Haar measure.*

The following is a more elaborate version of Theorem A.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let $G$ be a Chevalley group defined over $\mathbb{Z}$ of dimension $d$. Let $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ be as above. Let $K$ be a non-Archimedean local field with ring of integers $\mathfrak{o}$ and residue field $\mathfrak{K}$ of cardinality $q$. Let $d\lambda$ denote the additive Haar measure on $K^d = K^{\Sigma^-} \times K^{\Sigma^\circ} \times K^{\Sigma^+}$ normalized on $\mathfrak{o}^d$. Let $\mu$ be the measure given by*

$$\int_{G(K)} f(g)d\mu = k_G(q)^{-1} \int_{K^{\Sigma^-} \times K^{\Sigma^\circ} \times K^{\Sigma^+}} \prod_{\beta\in\Sigma^\circ} |a_\beta|^{\langle\rho,\beta\rangle-1} f(\Phi(\Psi(a)))\ d\lambda, \tag{5.1}$$

*as $f$ runs through all complex valued Borel functions on $G(K)$, where $\rho = \sum_{\delta\in\Sigma^+} \delta$, $a = \big((a_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\Sigma^-}, (a_\beta)_{\beta\in\Sigma^\circ}, (a_\gamma)_{\gamma\in\Sigma^+}\big) \in K^d$ and $k_G(q) = |G(\mathfrak{K})|/q^{\dim G}$. Then $\mu$ is a left and right Haar measure on $G(K)$ normalized such that $\mu(G(\mathfrak{o})) = 1$.*

*Proof.* Let $da$ denote an additive Haar measure on $K$ and let $d\mu_\alpha = x_{\alpha *}(da)$ be the push forward of $da$ along $x_\alpha$, defined by

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}_\alpha} f(g)d\mu_\alpha = \int_K f(x_\alpha(a))da$$

for all Borel functions $f$. Then the measure $\mu_\alpha$ is a Haar measure on $\mathfrak{X}_\alpha$. Similarly, by pushing forward the Haar measure $da/|a|$ on $K^\times$ to $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ we obtain a Haar measure on $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ as $d\tau_\alpha = h_{\alpha *}(da/|a|)$. On $U$ (and similarly on $U^-$) we define a measure via the formula

$$\int_U f(u)du := \int_{\prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+} \mathfrak{X}_\alpha} f\left(\prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+} x_\alpha(a_\alpha)\right) \prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+} da_\alpha. \tag{5.2}$$

The measure $du$ on $U$, and similarly the measure $du^-$ on $U^-$, is indeed a Haar measure by a repeated use of Theorem 5.1 utilizing the fact that any product of the $\mathfrak{X}_\alpha$'s is unipotent and hence its modular function is trivial. For $T$ we have

$$\int_T f(t)dt := \int_{\prod_{\beta\in\Sigma^\circ} \mathcal{H}_\beta} f\left(\prod_{\beta\in\Sigma^\circ} h_\beta(a_\beta)\right) \prod_{\beta\in\Sigma^\circ} \frac{da_\beta}{|a_\beta|}, \tag{5.3}$$

which clearly is a Haar measure since $T$ is abelian.

Since the complement of the $K$-points of $U^-TU$ in $G(K)$ has measure zero and the groups $U$ and $U^-$ are unimodular, the measure defined by

$$\int_{U^-\times T\times U} \frac{1}{\Delta_{U^-T}(t)} f(\Phi(u^-, t, u))du^- dt du$$

is a Haar measure on $G(K)$ by Theorem 5.1. Since the value of the modular function at $t$ is the modulus of conjugation $u \mapsto \operatorname{mod}(tut^{-1})$, we can compute $\Delta_{U^-T}(t)$ using the following equality [18, Lemma 20(c)]

$$h_\beta(b)x_\alpha(a)h_\beta(b)^{-1} = x_\alpha(b^{\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle}a), \qquad \alpha,\beta\in\Sigma,\ 0\neq b, a\in K, \tag{5.4}$$

which allows us to compute the modulus of conjugation by elements of the torus

$$\left(\prod_{\beta\in\Sigma^\circ} h_\beta(b_\beta)\right) \prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma^-} x_\alpha(a_\alpha) \left(\prod_{\beta\in\Sigma^\circ} h_\beta(b_\beta)\right)^{-1} = \prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma^-} x_\alpha\left(\prod_{\beta\in\Sigma^\circ} b_\beta^{\langle\beta,\alpha\rangle} a_\alpha\right). \tag{5.5}$$

The resulting modulus of conjugation by $\prod_{\beta\in\Sigma^\circ} h(b_\beta) \in T$ (in terms of the affine coordinates) is

$$\left|\prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma^-}\prod_{\beta\in\Sigma^\circ} b_\beta^{\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle}\right| = \left|\prod_{\beta\in\Sigma^\circ} b_\beta^{\langle\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^-}\alpha,\beta\rangle}\right| = \left|\prod_{\beta\in\Sigma^\circ} b_\beta^{-\langle\rho,\beta\rangle}\right|. \tag{5.6}$$

Combining (5.2), (5.3) and (5.6), the following defines a Haar integral on $G(K)$

$$\int_{K^{\Sigma^-}\times K^{\Sigma^\circ}\times K^{\Sigma^+}} \prod_{\beta\in\Sigma^\circ} |a_\beta|^{\langle\rho,\beta\rangle-1} f(\Phi(\Psi(a)))\, d\lambda.$$

We show that the measure $\mu$ as defined in (5.1) is normalized such that $\mu(G(\mathfrak{o}))=1$. Let $I(K)$ be the inverse image of a Borel subgroup $B(\mathfrak{K})\subset G(\mathfrak{K})$ under the reduction map $G(\mathfrak{o})\to G(\mathfrak{K})$, i.e. an Iwahori subgroup of $G(K)$. The intersection of $I(K)$ with the big Bruhat cell is precisely the image under $\Phi\circ\Psi$ of the subset

$$\{(a_1,...,a_r,b_1,..,b_\ell,c_1,...,c_r) : v(a_i)>0, v(b_j)=0, v(c_k)\geq 0\}\subset K^d,$$

see [18, Theorem 22] for more details.

The additive measure of the latter is $(1-q^{-1})^{|\Sigma^\circ|}q^{-|\Sigma^-|}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(G(\mathfrak{o})) &= \mu(I(K))[G(\mathfrak{K}):B(\mathfrak{K})]\\ &= k_G(q)^{-1}(1-q^{-1})^{|\Sigma^\circ|}q^{-|\Sigma^-|}\frac{|G(\mathfrak{K})|}{(q-1)^{|\Sigma^\circ|}q^{|\Sigma^+|}}\\ &= k_G(q)^{-1}\frac{|G(\mathfrak{K})|}{q^{\dim G}}=1,\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the Theorem. □

## 6. Counting conjugacy classes in quotients of Chevalley groups

Let $G$ be a Chevalley group. In this section we express the conjugacy class zeta function of $G(\mathfrak{o})$ in terms of a definable integral over $\mathfrak{o}^d$ and prove Theorem C. As in the introduction, we let $c_m=c_m(G,\mathfrak{o})$ be the number of conjugacy classes in $G(\mathfrak{o},m)$ and we put

$$\zeta^{\mathrm{cc}}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m\, q^{-ms} \qquad (s\in\mathbb{C}). \tag{6.1}$$

We write $k_G(q)=|G(\mathfrak{K})|q^{-d}$, where $q=|\mathfrak{K}|$, $p=\mathrm{char}(\mathfrak{K})$ and $d=d_G=\dim G$.

**Lemma 6.1.** *For all $m\geq 1$, $|G(\mathfrak{o})/G^m(\mathfrak{o})|=k_G(q)q^{md}$.*

*Proof.* The reduction of $G(\mathfrak{o})$ mod $\mathfrak{p}$ is an algebraic group over $\mathfrak{K}$. The assertion for $m=1$ is simply the definition of $k_G(q)$. By definition, the Lie algebra of the reduction is

$$\mathrm{Lie}(G(\mathfrak{K}))=\mathrm{Ker}\left(G(\mathfrak{K}[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)\to G(\mathfrak{K})\right),$$

and its cardinality is $q^d$. For $m>1$ we have

$$|\mathrm{Ker}\left(G(\mathfrak{K}[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)\to G(\mathfrak{K})\right)|=|\mathrm{Ker}\left(G(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{m+1})\to G(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^m)\right)|,$$

and the lemma follows.

□

**Proposition 6.2.**

$$\zeta^{\mathrm{cc}}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s)-1=\frac{k_G(q)(Z_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s-d)-1)}{1-q^{s-d}},$$

*where*

$$Z_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s)=\int_{G(\mathfrak{o})\times G(\mathfrak{o})} ||\{(\mathbf{xy}-\mathbf{yx})_{ij} : 1\leq i,j\leq d\}||^s d\nu$$

*and $\nu$ is the normalized Haar measure on $G(\mathfrak{o})\times G(\mathfrak{o})$. Here $\mathbf{x}=(x_{ij})$ and $\mathbf{y}=(y_{ij})$ are $d\times d$ matrices of indeterminates. The norm in the integrand is $||\{z_i : i\in I\}||:=$ $\max_{i\in I}\{|z_i|\}$.*

*Proof.* We define a function $w : G(\mathfrak{o}) \times G(\mathfrak{o}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ giving the depth of a commutator in the congruence filtration: put $w(x,y) = \max\{m \in \mathbb{N} : x^{-1}y^{-1}xy \in G^m(\mathfrak{o})\}$ if $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy \neq 1$, and $\infty$ otherwise. It is straightforward to show that $w(x,y) = \min_{1\leq i,j\leq n}\{v(xy-xy)_{ij}\}$.

We will need the following classical result for a finite group $H$: denoting by $cc(H)$ the number of conjugacy classes in $H$, we have (cf. [10]) that

$$cc(H) = |H|^{-1}\sum_{x\in H}|C_H(x)| = |H|^{-1}|\{(x,y)\in H\times H : xy = yx\}|. \tag{6.2}$$

Applying (6.2) to $G(\mathfrak{o},m)$ it follows that for all $m$, $c_m = |G(\mathfrak{o})/G^m(\mathfrak{o})|^{-1}e_m$, where

$$e_m := |\{(x,y)\in G(\mathfrak{o},m)\times G(\mathfrak{o},m) : x^{-1}y^{-1}xy = 1\}|.$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} W_m &= \{(x,y)\in G(\mathfrak{o})\times G(\mathfrak{o}) : w(x,y)\geq m\},\\ Z'_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} q^{-ms}\nu(W_m). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$Z_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} q^{-ms}\nu(W_m\setminus W_{m+1}) = Z'_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s)(1-q^s)+q^s.$$

It is straightforward to show that $\nu(W_m) = \nu(G^m(\mathfrak{o})\times G^m(\mathfrak{o}))e_m$. An elementary computation, using Lemma 6.1, then gives

$$\begin{aligned} Z'_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\nu(G^m(\mathfrak{o})\times G^m(\mathfrak{o}))e_m q^{-ms}\\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}|G(\mathfrak{o})/G^m(\mathfrak{o})|^{-1}c_m q^{-ms}\\ &= 1+k_G(q)^{-1}(\zeta^{\mathrm{cc}}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s+d)-1), \end{aligned}$$

from which Proposition 6.2 follows. □

6.1. **Proof of Theorem C.** Proposition 6.2 states that

$$\zeta^{\mathrm{cc}}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s)-1 = \frac{k_G(q)(Z_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s-d)-1)}{1-q^{s-d}}.$$

Applying Theorem 5.2 to

$$Z_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s-d) = \int_{G(\mathfrak{o})\times G(\mathfrak{o})}||\{(\mathbf{xy}-\mathbf{yx})_{ij} : 1\leq i,j\leq d\}||^{s-d}d\nu$$

we obtain an integral on $K^{2d}$ with respect to the additive Haar measure. Note that this converges for all $s\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}(s)\geq d$. Finally we apply Theorem B to the obtained integrals and this completes the proof for the required expression for $\zeta^{\mathrm{cc}}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s)$. For the last statement note that the equality $Z_{G(\mathfrak{o})}s) = Z_{G(\mathfrak{o}')}(s)$, for $s\in\mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\mathrm{Re(s)} > \sigma_0$, implies that for any $m$ the groups $G(\mathfrak{o},m)$ and $G(\mathfrak{o}',m)$ have the same number of conjugacy classes.

## 7. DIMENSIONS OF HECKE MODULES OF INTERTWINING OPERATORS

7.1. **Induced representations and intertwining operators.** Let $H$ be a finite group and let $Q_1, Q_2$ be subgroups of $H$. Let

$$\rho_i = \mathbf{1}_{Q_i}^{H} = \mathbb{C}[H/Q_i]$$

denote the induced representation obtained by inducing the trivial representation of $Q_i$ to $H$. The space $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\rho_1, \rho_2)$, which we refer to as the Hecke (intertwining) module, of $H$-maps between the corresponding representation spaces, is a bi-module with a left $\mathrm{End}_H(\rho_1)$-action and right $\mathrm{End}_H(\rho_2)$-action. It admits an algebraic description as $\oplus_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(H)} \mathrm{Mat}(n_{1,\sigma}, n_{2,\sigma}; \mathbb{C})$, where $n_{i,\sigma}$ is the multiplicity of an irreducible representation $\sigma$ of $H$ in $\rho_i$, and a geometric description as the space of $Q_1$-$Q_2$ bi-invariant functions $\mathbb{C}[Q_2 \backslash H/Q_1]$. The latter identification is obtain by interpreting a $Q_2$-$Q_1$-bi-invariant function $\varphi$ as a summation kernel, thus obtaining a $H$-invariant map $T_\varphi$ between the corresponding representations:

$$[T_\varphi(f)](hQ_2) = \sum_{g \in H/Q_1} \varphi(Q_2 h^{-1} g Q_1) f(gQ_1), \quad f \in \mathbb{C}[H/Q_i].$$

In particular,

$$\dim \mathbb{C}[Q_1 \backslash H/Q_2] = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_H(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \sum_{\sigma} n_{1,\sigma} n_{2,\sigma}. \tag{7.1}$$

The following Lemma is an analogue of (6.2).

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let $H$ be a finite group and let $Q_1, Q_2$ be subgroups of $H$. Then*

$$|\{Q_1 h Q_2 : h \in H\}| = \frac{1}{|Q_1||Q_2|} |\{(x, y) \in H \times Q_2 : xyx^{-1} \in Q_1\}|.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} |\{Q_1 h Q_2 : h \in H\}| &= |Q_1 \backslash H/Q_2| \\ &= \frac{1}{|Q_2|} \sum_{x \in Q_1 \backslash H} |\mathrm{Stab}_{Q_2}(x)| \\ &= \frac{1}{|Q_1||Q_2|} |\{(x, y) \in H \times Q_2 : Q_1 x = Q_1 xy\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{|Q_1||Q_2|} |\{(x, y) \in H \times Q_2 : xyx^{-1} \in Q_1\}|. \end{aligned}$$

□

7.2. **Zeta functions associated with induced representations of Chevalley groups.** Let $G$ be a Chevalley group and let $\Sigma$ denote the set of roots. Let $S$ be a closed set of roots, i.e. $\alpha, \beta \in S$ and $\alpha + \beta \in \Sigma$ implies $\alpha + \beta \in S$. Let $P_S$ be the standard parabolic subgroup of $G$ associated with $S$, namely, the group generated by $\mathfrak{X}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in S$ (see Section 5). Let $\rho_{\mathfrak{o},m}^{S}$ be the complex valued permutation representation of $G(\mathfrak{o})$ induced from the action of $G(\mathfrak{o})$ on the finite set $G(\mathfrak{o})/P_S(\mathfrak{o})G^m(\mathfrak{o}) \simeq G(\mathfrak{o}, m)/P_S(\mathfrak{o}, m)$. To study the family of representations $\rho_{\mathfrak{o},m}^{S}$ ($S$ a closed set of roots) it is natural to look at the spaces of intertwining maps from $\rho_{\mathfrak{o},m}^{S_1}$ to $\rho_{\mathfrak{o},m}^{S_2}$. We have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}\left(\rho_{\mathfrak{o},m}^{S_1}, \rho_{\mathfrak{o},m}^{S_2}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}[P_{S_2}(\mathfrak{o}, m) \backslash G(\mathfrak{o}, m)/P_{S_1}(\mathfrak{o}, m)],$$

and denoting $b_{\mathfrak{o},m}^{S_1,S_2} := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}\left(\rho_{\mathfrak{o},m}^{S_1}, \rho_{\mathfrak{o},m}^{S_2}\right)$ we can define the zeta function

$$\zeta_{G(\mathfrak{o})}^{S_1,S_2}(s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_{\mathfrak{o},m}^{S_1,S_2} q^{-ms}.$$

In order to express $\zeta_{G(\mathfrak{o})}^{S_1,S_2}(s)$ in terms of a definable integral we use Lemma 7.1. Letting

$$e_{\mathfrak{o},m}^{S_1,S_2} = |\{(x,y) \in G(\mathfrak{o},m) \times G(\mathfrak{o},m) : y \in P_{S_2}(\mathfrak{o},m), xyx^{-1} \in P_{S_1}(\mathfrak{o},m)\}|,$$

we get

$$b_{\mathfrak{o},m}^{S_1,S_2}(G) = \frac{e_{\mathfrak{o},m}^{S_1,S_2}}{|P_{S_1}(\mathfrak{o},m)||P_{S_2}(\mathfrak{o},m)|}, \tag{7.2}$$

where

$$|P_S(\mathfrak{o},m)| = q^{m \dim P_S} k_{P_S}(q), \quad \text{for all } m \geq 1. \tag{7.3}$$

Let $\lambda_S$ be the function $G(\mathfrak{o}) \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ given by

$$\lambda_S(x) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } x \in P_S(\mathfrak{o}) \\ \max\{m \in \mathbb{N} : x \in P_S(\mathfrak{o})G^m(\mathfrak{o})\} & \text{if } x \notin P_S(\mathfrak{o}). \end{cases}$$

We next define $w : G(\mathfrak{o}) \times G(\mathfrak{o}) \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ by

$$w(x,y) = \min\{\lambda_{S_2}(y), \lambda_{S_1}(xyx^{-1})\}.$$

**Lemma 7.2.** *The functions $\lambda_S$ and $w$ are $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Denef-Pas}}$-definable.*

*Proof.* The Chevalley group comes with a specific embedding into $\mathrm{GL}_d$, where $d = \dim G$ and hence $z \in G^m(\mathfrak{o})$ if and only if $m \leq \min\{v\left((z-I)_{ij}\right) : 1 \leq i,j, \leq d\}$. It follows that the maximum $m$ such that $z \in G^m(\mathfrak{o})$ is equal to $\min_{i,j} v\left((z-I)_{ij}\right)$. Therefore,

$$\lambda_S(x) = \min\{v\left((y^{-1}x - I)_{ij}\right) : y \in P_S(\mathfrak{o}), 1 \leq i,j \leq d\}.$$

As the function $y \mapsto \max_{i,j} |(y^{-1}x - I)_{ij}|$ is continuous on a compact set the maximum is achieved on $P_S(\mathfrak{o})$. Thus $\min\{v\left((y^{-1}x - I)_{ij}\right) : y \in P_S(\mathfrak{o}), 1 \leq i,j \leq d\}$ exists for all $x \in G(\mathfrak{o})$ and hence $\lambda_S(x)$ is well defined and is clearly definable by a formula of the value group sort. The definability of $w$ follows as well. □

For an algebraic subgroup $H$ of $G$ we denote its dimension by $d_H$ and we denote $k_H(q) = |H(\mathfrak{K})|/q^{d_H}$.

**Proposition 7.3.**

$$\zeta_{G(\mathfrak{o})}^{S_1,S_2}(s) - 1 = \frac{k^{S_1,S_2}(q)\left(Z_{G(\mathfrak{o})}^{S_1,S_2}(s - 2d_G + d_{P_{S_1}} + d_{P_{S_2}}) - 1\right)}{1 - q^{s - 2d_G + d_{P_{S_1}} + d_{P_{S_2}}}},$$

*where*

$$Z_{G(\mathfrak{o})}^{S_1,S_2}(s) := \int_{G(\mathfrak{o}) \times G(\mathfrak{o})} q^{-w(x,y)s} d\nu,$$

*$\nu$ is the normalised Haar measure on $G(\mathfrak{o}) \times G(\mathfrak{o})$ and $k^{S_1,S_2}(q) = k_G(q)^2 k_{P_{S_1}}(q)^{-1} k_{P_{S_2}}(q)^{-1}$.*

*Proof.* The proof is very similar to that of Proposition 6.2. Put

$$W_m = \{(x,y) \in G(\mathfrak{o}) \times G(\mathfrak{o}) : w(x,y) \geq m\},$$

$$Z'(s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \nu(W_m) q^{-ms}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{S_1,S_2}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s) &= \int_{G(\mathfrak{o})\times G(\mathfrak{o})} q^{-w(x,y)s} d\nu \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \nu(W_m \smallsetminus W_{m+1}) q^{-ms} = Z'(s)(1-q^s)+q^s. \end{aligned}$$

It is readily shown that $\nu(W_m) = e^{S_1,S_2}_{\mathfrak{o},m}\nu(G^m(\mathfrak{o})\times G^m(\mathfrak{o}))$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} Z'(s) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{S_1,S_2}_{\mathfrak{o},m}\nu(G^m(\mathfrak{o})\times G^m(\mathfrak{o}))q^{-ms} \\ \text{(by Lemma 6.1)} \qquad &= 1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{S_1,S_2}_{\mathfrak{o},m} k_G(q)^{-2} q^{-2m\dim G - ms} \\ \text{(by (7.2))} \qquad &= 1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |P_{S_1}(\mathfrak{o},m)||P_{S_2}(\mathfrak{o},m)| b^{S_1,S_2}_{\mathfrak{o},m} k_G(q)^{-2} q^{-m(2\dim G+s)} \\ \text{(by (7.3))} \qquad &= 1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k^{S_1,S_2}(q)^{-1} b^{S_1,S_2}_{m} q^{-m(2\dim G-\dim P_{S_1}-\dim P_{S_2}+s)} \\ &= 1+k^{S_1,S_2}(q)^{-1}\left(\zeta^{S_1,S_2}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}\left(s+2d_G-d_{P_{S_1}}-d_{P_{S_2}}\right)-1\right), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired result. □

7.3. **Proof of Theorem D.** Theorem D follows by combining Proposition 7.3, Lemma 7.2, Theorem 5.2, and Corollary 4.4 using the convergence of $\zeta^{S_1,S_2}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s)$ for all $s\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}(s)\geq d_G$ for all $\mathfrak{o}$ similar to the proof of Theorem C.

## 8. SOME REMARKS

8.1. **Extending the class of groups.** We have not described all of groups to which the results of this paper apply. For example, if $G=\mathrm{GL}_n$ then using the embedding of $G$ in $\mathrm{Mat}_n$ one can apply Theorem B directly and deduce that the conjugacy class zeta function depends only on the residue field of $\mathfrak{o}$. Similarly, if $G$ is an elliptic curve, then the coefficients of its conjugacy class zeta function are merely the number of $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^m$-points of the curve and depend only on the residue field. Similarly, the results apply if $G$ is any standard parabolic or unipotent subgroup of a Chevalley group.

8.2. **One zeta function for all primes.** The main tool in this paper is the transfer principle based on Model theory (Theorem B), thereby a (possibly) large set of primes is excluded. Nevertheless, in cases where explicit calculations are tangible, it transpires that the zeta function is much more rigid. If $G$ is either $\mathrm{GL}_2$ or $\mathrm{GL}_3$ then there exists a rational function $R_G(X,Y)\in\mathbb{Q}(X,Y)$, explicitly computed in [2], such that $\zeta^{\mathrm{cc}}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s) = R_G(q,q^{-s})$ where $\mathfrak{o}$ is any compact discrete valuation ring and $q=|\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}|$.

A similar phenomenon occurs for the zeta function of Hecke modules of intertwining operators. If $G$ is either $\mathrm{GL}_2$ or $\mathrm{GL}_3$, and $P,Q$ are any standard parabolics thereof, then there exists a rational function $R^{P,Q}_G(X,Y)\in\mathbb{Q}(X,Y)$, the coefficients of which are explicitly computed in [16], such that $\zeta^{P,Q}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s) = R^{P,Q}_G(q,q^{-s})$, where $\mathfrak{o}$ is any compact discrete valuation ring and $q=|\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}|$.

Another instance of this phenomenon can be found when $G$ is the discrete Heisenberg group over $\mathfrak{o}$, that is, the group of upper unitriangular matrices over $\mathfrak{o}$, with a residue field $\mathfrak{K}$ of cardinality $q$. The dimension of this group is 3, and by the Proposition 6.2,

$$\zeta^{\mathrm{cc}}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s) = \frac{1 + q^{3-s} Z_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s-3)}{1 - q^{3-s}},$$

where

$$Z_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s) = \int_{\mathfrak{o}^4} |ab - cd|^s d\mu = \frac{(1-q^{-1})(1-q^{-2})}{(1-q^{-1-s})(1-q^{-2-s})}.$$

Thus

$$\zeta^{\mathrm{cc}}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s) = \frac{1 + q^{-s} - q^{1-s} - q^{2-s} + q^{3-s}}{(1-q^{1-s})(1-q^{2-s})(1-q^{3-s})}.$$

The integral $Z_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s)$ is a classical example of Igusa's zeta function, and the formula above can be found in various places, for instance [12].

8.3. **Euler products.** One can form an Euler product of the local conjugacy class zeta functions to count conjugacy classes in a global object. Let $F$ be a global field, i.e. a number field or the field of rational functions of a curve over a finite field. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be its ring of integers. For a finite place $v$ of $F$ let $F_v$ and $\mathcal{O}_v$ be the completions with respect to $v$ of $F$ and $\mathcal{O}$, respectively. For an ideal $\mathcal{I} \lhd \mathcal{O}$ denote $N(\mathcal{I}) = [\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{I}]$. Let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}_n$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-defined algebraic subgroup and let $c_{\mathcal{I}}$ stand for the number of conjugacy classes in $G(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I})$. Define the (global) conjugacy zeta function to be

$$\zeta^{\mathrm{cc}}_{G(\mathcal{O})}(s) = \sum_{\mathcal{I} \lhd \mathcal{O}} c_{\mathcal{I}} N(\mathcal{I})^{-s}.$$

**Lemma 8.1.** *If $\mathcal{O}$ and $G$ as above, and assuming $G$ has strong approximation, then*

$$\zeta^{\mathrm{cc}}_{G(\mathcal{O})}(s) = \prod_{\mathcal{P} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O})} \zeta^{\mathrm{cc}}_{G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}})}(s).$$

*Proof.* By strong approximation we have an isomorphism

$$G(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}) \cong G(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}_1^{r_1}) \times \cdots \times G(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}_k^{r_k}),$$

where $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{P}_1^{r_1} \dots \mathcal{P}_k^{r_k}$. For the irreducible representations we have identifications

$$\mathrm{Irr}\left(G(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I})\right) = \mathrm{Irr}\left(G(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}_1^{r_1})\right) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Irr}\left(G(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}_k^{r_k})\right).$$

The class numbers are therefore multiplicative and the result follows.

□

8.4. **Representation zeta functions.** Let $G$ be a representation rigid group, i.e. the number of $n$-dimensional complex irreducible representations, denoted $r_n(G)$, is finite for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\zeta^{\mathrm{rep}}_{G}(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n(G) n^{-s}$$

is the representation zeta function of $G$; see [14]. If $G$ is topological then one counts continuous representations. We are tempted to pose the following.

**Question.** *Let $G$ be a Chevalley group. Does there exist a constant $N$ such that for any complete discrete valuation ring $\mathfrak{o}$ with residue field of size $q$ and characteristic $p > N$, the representation zeta function (of continuous representations) $\zeta^{\mathrm{rep}}_{G(\mathfrak{o})}(s)$ depends only on $q$?*

## References

[1] Avni, N. Arithmetic groups have rational representation growth. *To appear in Ann. of Math.* (2011).

[2] Avni, N., Onn, U., Prasad, A., and Vaserstein, L. Similarity classes of $3 \times 3$ matrices over a local principal ideal ring. *Comm. Algebra 37*, 8 (2009), 2601–2615.

[3] Cherlin, G. *Model-Theoretic Algebra*, vol. 521 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1976.

[4] Cluckers, R., and Loeser, F. Constructible motivic functions and motivic integration. *Invent. Math. 173* (2008), 23–121.

[5] Cluckers, R., and Loeser, F. Constructible exponential functions, motivic fourier transform, and transfer princple. *Ann. Math 171*, 2 (2010), 1011–1065.

[6] Cohen, P. Decision procedures for real and $p$-adic fields. *Comm. Pure App. Math. 22* (1969), 131–151.

[7] Denef, J. The rationality of the Poincaré series associated to the $p$-adic points on a variety. *Invent. Math. 77* (1984), 1 – 23.

[8] Denef, J. On the degree of Igusa's local zeta function. *Amer. J. Math. 109* (1987), 991 – 1008.

[9] Denef, J., and Loeser, F. Definable sets, motives, and $p$-adic integrals. *J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14*, 2 (2001), 429–469.

[10] du Sautoy, M. Counting conjugacy classes. *Bull. London Math. Soc. 37*, 1 (2005), 37–44.

[11] Enderton, H. *A Mathematical Introduction to Logic (2nd ed.)*. Academic Press, 2001.

[12] Igusa, J.-I. *An Introduction to the Theory of Local Zeta Functions*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. AMS/IP, 2007.

[13] Knapp, A. W. *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, second ed., vol. 140 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.

[14] Larsen, M., and Lubotzky, A. Representation growth of linear groups. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 10*, 2 (2008), 351–390.

[15] Macintyre, A. Rationality of $p$-adic Poincaré series: uniformity in $p$. *Ann. Pure Applied Logic. 49* (1990), 31–74.

[16] Onn, U., Prasad, A., and Vaserstein, L. A note on Bruhat decomposition of GL($n$) over local principal ideal rings. *Comm. Algebra 34*, 11 (2006), 4119–4130.

[17] Pas, J. Uniform $p$-adic cell decompositions and local zeta functions. *J. reine angew. Math 399* (1989), 137–172.

[18] Steinberg, R. *Lectures on Chevalley groups*. Yale University, New Haven, Conn., 1968. Notes prepared by John Faulkner and Robert Wilson.

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7701 South Africa
*E-mail address*: `mark.berman@uct.ac.za`

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, 24-29 St. Giles, Oxford OX1 3LB, UK
*E-mail address*: `derakhsh@maths.ox.ac.uk`

Department of Mathematics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva 84105 Israel
*E-mail address*: `urionn@math.bgu.ac.il`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 68, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland
*E-mail address*: `pirita.paajanen@helsinki.fi`